

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

5

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/031,322	0	6/21/2002	Dieter Arabin	12308/1	12308/1 5907	
26646	7590	01/07/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON ONE BROA		ON		SCHIFFMAN, JORI		
NEW YORK		004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3679		
				DATE MAILED: 01/07/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/031,322	ARABIN, DIETER					
	Examiner	Art Unit	 				
	Jori R. Schiffman	3679	• .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application appl	cation. A proper repich places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, asion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ns.				
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	or reconsideration has been consider Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 4-7.	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) \square disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:		NOTE H. BROWNE SORY PATENT EXAMI NOLOGY CENTER 362	NER O				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. The proposed amendment recites "the surface" without defining a surface for the cone, however, a surface of the cone does in fact engage a surface of the recess. In response to applicant's argument that McCain fails to disclose a coupling cone, the Examiner disagrees because the shape of the "cone" in McCain is the same as the shape of the "cone" in Fig. 3 of the instant invention. All other arguments have been considered, however the Examiner maintains that the rejection is proper.